

Re: Junk E-Mail Re: Oct meeting

Joe Mikos <president@mvyouthlacrosse.com>

Wed 10/30/2019 5:59 PM

To: T E Hopkins <ewellhopkins@mac.com>

Cc: Oak Bluffs Planning Board <planningboard@oakbluffsma.gov>

I am formally requesting a copy of the mins from Oct 24th Planning Board meeting.

Thank you.

Joe Mikos

President MVYL

> On Oct 29, 2019, at 11:20 AM, T E Hopkins <ewellhopkins@mac.com> wrote:

>
> Mr. Mikos,
> Respectfully I disagree with your position and ask that you do a little more research.
> If the applicant's representative formally requests such action I will of course hold a public hearing and consult the town attorney.
> After which I will take action.

>
> Ewell Hopkins
> 1.508.560.7227

>> On Oct 29, 2019, at 6:41 AM, Joe Mikos <president@mvyouthlacrosse.com> wrote:
>>
>> Good morning Mr. Hopkins,
>> Yes, please take a moment to read my letter below.

>>
>>
>> Sincerely,
>>
>> Joe Mikos
>> President MVYL

>>
>>> On Oct 29, 2019, at 9:33 AM, T E Hopkins <ewellhopkins@mac.com> wrote:
>>>

>>> Mr. Mikos,
>>>
>>> Please consider this receipt of your attached. Before I read it do you understand that by sending it to me that it is a public document?

>>>
>>> Ewell Hopkins
>>> 1.508.560.7227

>>>
>>>> On Oct 29, 2019, at 6:26 AM, Joe Mikos <president@mvyouthlacrosse.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Good morning Mr. Hopkins,

>>>> I was sent numerous emails and have had multiple calls this morning from parents/board members in my youth lacrosse program regarding the OB Planning Board's public meetings on the Turf vs. Grass debate.

>>>>

>>>> What's most concerning is that your board held those meetings prior to the high school even applying for the permits to build. I know this from my emails with your administrative assistant.

>>>>

>>>> Now, looking at your website, you have 14 letters of opposition to the turf and NO letters of support? Clearly you're showing a bias to this issue.

>>>>

>>>> I am head of the youth lacrosse program on this island we're playing on dirt, rocks and mud. Obviously i have a bias to the kids of MV and what's best for them.

>>>>

>>>> I respectfully ask that you recuse yourself from further meetings on this issue due to your obvious bias.

>>>>

>>>> Sincerely,

>>>>

>>>> Joe Mikos

>>>> President MVYL

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

Your public records request

Oak Bluffs Planning Board <planningboard@oakbluffsma.gov>

Wed 11/6/2019 4:09 PM

To: Joe Mikos <president@mvyouthlacrosse.com>

Cc: Ewell Hopkins (ewellhopkins@mac.com) <ewellhopkins@mac.com>; erikalbert360@gmail.com <erikalbert360@gmail.com>

Hello,

Your public records request regarding draft minutes from the Oct. 24 Planning Board meeting will be available for you to pick up tomorrow morning at town hall.

All best,

Kim Leaird

Administrator to the Planning Board of Oak Bluffs

Oak Bluffs Town Hall

56 School St.

Oak Bluffs, MA 02557

(508) 693-3554 x154

<http://www.oakbluffsma.gov/182/Planning-Board>



OAK BLUFFS PLANNING BOARD

Meeting Minutes

OCTOBER 24, 2019

5:00 p.m. at Oak Bluffs Fire Station, 6 Firehouse Lane, Kitchen Meeting Room

Members in Attendance: Ewell Hopkins, JoJo Lambert, Erik Albert, Mark Crossland, Bill Cleary

Members Absent:

Staff in Attendance: Kim Leaird (*Administrator*)

Attendees: Andrea Rogers, Llewellyn Rogers, Matt D’Andrea, Kris O’Brien, Janet Packer, Terry Donohue, Emma Green-Beach, Rebekah Thomson, Lucas Thors, Susan Desmarais, Suzan Bellincampi, Richard Toole

Chairperson Hopkins opened the meeting at 5:04 p.m.

Approval of Minutes

Member Crossland made a motion to approve the October 10, 2019 meeting minutes as written. Member Cleary seconded. Motion passed 4-0-1 (with one abstention from Member Albert).

Comprehensive Waste Meeting Update

Members Albert, Lambert and Hopkins all attended the October 22 meeting. They did not deliberate and so it was not posted as a public meeting for the Planning Board.

The Comprehensive Wastewater Master Plan (CWMP) is a very significant planning process akin to the Water Department’s master plan and the Planning Board’s recently completed Master Plan.

Member Albert said he had two main problems:

- 1) They said aqua culture is where it’s at and Cottage City does not like being at Eastville – but we should be able to build it there, could do this within a year with permitting and that we could start filtering it now. It could probably be expedited if they went to the state. He believes there is some resistance to putting it there but there is a greater good.
- 2) They want to sewer down County and down Barnes on the lagoon side but no development for the downtown was presented, even though right now you could build on the Sandbar or on top of post office building, all are three-level zoning – and wastewater is the only thing that stops any sort of development.

Member Lambert agreed with everything Member Albert said.

Chairperson Hopkins added that he had issued a letter to the public in advance of the meeting that contained five points as drivers and issues that need to be discussed as pertains to human waste management plans—sewering being one technology that could be employed.

Major issues:

- 1) Reduce nitrogen flowing to coastal estuaries
- 2) Affordable housing
- 3) Economic growth of downtown and other areas
- 4) Relieving septic limitations in areas already designated as Zone II wellhead protection areas
- 5) Key facilities (such as MV Hospital)

Chairperson Hopkins said it was clear to him as he heard the outline of the CWMP process plan, that they had closed the needs assessment but they had not spoken to a majority of current users. In addition:

- The larger users interviewed did not know the context of why they were being interviewed. He was sitting with representatives of the YMCA and they did not know they had been part of the CWMP.
- There was no representation from the high school and he was very concerned that needs assessment was based on unsupportable data.
- They are moving into the next stage of design based on this incomplete needs assessment and they are missing a lot of key points.
 - The Water department and Kevin Johnson have a 10-year goal to build a new water tower by the high school. They're looking to close loops and expand Zone II which would impose additional restrictions – the CWMP had not worked or incorporated any of his plans into their plan either.
 - There is real dysfunction with water at the front end and then the back end and how it comes together.
- Because there are very strict limitations to what you can do within a CWMP and the goal is to qualify for zero percent financing for sewerage plans – any concept of public/private partnership and private capital coming into the equation to maximize what they can do – these conversations are being cut short. For example, think of any single structure downtown being zoned for three stories.

Chairperson Hopkins said he is planning to write this all up and send as a follow-up to Wastewater. The meeting was heavily attended, it was standing-room only but it was skewed heavily towards development issues. He was also looking for VCS, for ICAN, MassAudubon or the environmental voice in the conversation but it wasn't there. He said he hopes that they have additional meetings and believes Planning Board needs to urge them to expand its current scope – to work with Planning, to work with the Water department, and to have many more public sessions.

Member Albert complimented Doug Ruskin's suggestion to use a site at airport and combine forces – this might qualify them for some federal funding.

Richard Toole asked if affordable housing figured into the discussion. Chairperson Hopkins said that the drivers of the CWMP were not properly flushed out and said this is a \$35-\$40 million capital expenditure. We have obligations, but what's driving that is lost in the current report.

Zoning Reform Subcommittee Update

Member Crossland said they had recently wrapped up looking at three bylaws (3.4; 4.1, and 11.1) and hope to bring back recommendations to the Planning Board soon. Chairperson Hopkins said the Planning Board is looking at zoning two different tracks: in the context of comprehensive zoning reform in the future and via a subcommittee to look at a few pertinent issues that need to be addressed sooner.

Susan Desmarais – Statement re: Artificial Turf in advance of MVRHS Track & Field Project

Chairperson Hopkins said that the Board was originally contacted by Joe Sullivan (OPM or project manager) in early October. He asked to speak conceptually about the project at the October 10 Planning Board meeting on the designs they have planned for new fields at the high school. Once the agenda was posted, Mr. Sullivan was unable to attend due to weather and asked to delay his presentation until mid-November. Since posting it for our October 10 meeting, members of the public have attended publicly-posted meetings and submitted comments to the Planning Board. Chairperson Hopkins opened discussion because this back and forth discussion is an opportunity to educate both the public and the board.

Chairperson Hopkins said first the public must understand the authority of the town and what the bylaws do and do not allow as well as their relationship with the Martha's Vineyard Commission. All of the materials that are being proposed are allowable, the Planning Board does not have the authority to ban a synthetic material – but they do have authority to improve any product or element introduced into the project.

Chairperson Hopkins said the Planning Board would also avail themselves of professional peer reviews. He reiterated that the Planning Board is accepting comments both pro and against this project. He would like to highlight one letter verbatim per meeting.

Susan Desmarais read a letter into the record:

Before I read my statement, I'd like to say that since I wrote this I saw some comments online stating that some of us are using scare tactics regarding cancer risks associated with chemicals from the infill carpet and turf. To that, my answer is I would give just about anything to anyone who would have been brave enough to speak out regarding the carcinogens I was exposed to. The industry and the government knew of the risks before I was exposed. This is my statement:

Hello, many of you may remember that I was active during the early stages of this turf project. I was vocal then pulled back, not because my convictions had changed but largely due to my health. Both physical and mental. Cancer is hard to talk about and it's especially challenging to hear that small chances of contracting cancer are acceptable. Since cancer and a love of kids is such a significant part of my life I am motivated to speak out now.

Scientific research has clearly and unequivocally shown that the substances in artificial turf - both the infill and carpet - are threatening, hazardous to the environment and athletes. There are several other people more qualified than I to speak about the science. I'm here to talk to you about risks, "acceptable" risks and consequences.

First I'd like to ask something of those who read or listen to my words. It's not an easy ask but please listen or read with as much openness as you can muster. Put aside, for now, the acerbic words and thoughts. My motivation is to prevent what happened to me and how I must live and what will most likely shorten my life from happening to others. My fervent hope is that none of our island athletes have to hear the words that I've heard over and over, "I'm so sorry its cancer".

During my mother's pregnancy I was exposed to a forever chemical in the form of a prescription drug given to women at risk for miscarriage. Fetal damage is related to how much and how long the exposure was for. There are over 11 million people in our country alone living with the consequences of this forever chemical. The chemical is diethylstilbestrol, commonly known as DES. It has been uncovered through diligent research that the Eli Lilly Company knew of the carcinogenic effects of DES as early as 1949. It was prescribed until 1971 when the FDA forbade its use in pregnant women.

In 1971 I was 15 years old. Our family doctor had cared for my mother and prescribed DES. When the cancer links were made public due to the diligent work of researchers at MGH he contacted us. Sitting in front of my trusted doctor hearing him talk to me about getting cancer was surreal. But he told me that it was very rare and if it didn't happen before I was in my twenties I would be ok. He didn't add that the oldest DES daughters and sons were only 6 years older than me so the medical community couldn't say that for sure. I became part of an experimental cohort without knowing the impact.

Decades have marched on, time always does. In my rearview mirror I can see 7 pregnancy losses...some of which I still cannot talk about...countless painful gynecological tests, procedures and surgeries. Pervasive skin cancers, melanoma, invasive squamous cell all over me and not related to sun exposure....67 procedures and surgeries in the last 23 years alone and still counting. You see, time, science and research keep uncovering chemical effects. Some of these risks and effects were not known. Some I didn't know. And they are now uncovering third generation effects. If one of my children had survived they, too would be damaged by this forever chemical.

Cancer is a robber baron. A sneaky thief who lurks about ready to spring into action when the hospitable circumstances arise. I am resilient, I am more fortunate than many DES and chemically exposed people. In some ways I feel guilty to be alive. But I'm also inspired to fight for those who have lost their voices, their lives through exposure to forever chemicals. I invite you to go to www.desaction.org or the national institutes of health websites to read more about DES and other forever chemicals like PFAS.

I close with some questions....

What is "acceptable risk" when the dice you we throwing are the lives of island athletes? What is "acceptable" about risking the poisoning of our sole source aquifer? What is "acceptable" about increasing greenhouse gases on our small island? I think that what's acceptable is doing better now that we know better. Since we know better we can protect our island children.

Susan Desmarais, Oak Bluffs

Chairperson Hopkins thanked her for her statement. He reminded board members that more than ever they must understand their role, responsibilities and authority and how they work in concert with the Commission so that they can address this pending application in a responsible way. The board must educate themselves and read relevant sections of bylaws.

Kris O'Brien, school committee member, asked which section of bylaw – Site plan review 10.4.

Chairperson Hopkins acknowledged Richard Toole as one of three of the MVC commissioners for the town of Oak Bluffs. He said the Planning Board can strengthen the Commission's decision, but they cannot lessen their decision. The Commission will be referred this application when it comes in however the Planning Board does not refer any application without an accompanying opinion.

Chairperson Hopkins asked if there was any other public input from those present beyond the letters already received. He began by asking for comments opposed to turf.

➤ ***Comments in opposition to synthetic turf***

Emma Greenbeach, Oak Bluffs resident, said she is firmly opposed to synthetics – for many reasons but if sticking to the topic that Susan led off on – the Crumb rubber infill which was supposed to be limited to

the backing. While she hears the new iteration of plan will not have crumb rubber infill – PFAS is not just found in the infill backing but also in the blades of grass.

She said that a recent study looked at 8 samples and six of those were just the blades and they found significant levels of PFAS that were way higher than levels allowed in drinking water. They also examined discarded, rolled up astroturf to side of field and took samples from those as well as from water in adjacent wetland and found levels in the wetland that was also higher than what is allowed in some states' drinking water.

She said this situation is alarming because it shows that PFAS is coming off of discarded turf after already on the fields where it had seeped into the ground at highly detectable levels. The town [in Globe article] was not aware that it was an issue. These toxins are “forever chemicals” with a very high half-life. They are shown to bio-accumulate and move around the environment for a really long time and can affect everyone – including mothers and newborns. She referenced the issue that happened last summer up at the airport when toxic chemicals were found in water.

She said if we have a chance to NOT introduce this to our environment why wouldn't we [choose another path] and protect student athletes. She pointed out that synthetic turf is not marked as a child product and therefore is not held to the same high standards as baby bottles, for example.

She further stated that PFAS is an additive they use to help extrude the plastics, it basically lays them out all nice and shiny and soft and helps keep the blades resistant to UV damage – [but ultimately] it helps them stay around longer. PFAS is part of the manufacturing process and there are companies that have admitted they are commonly used. There are alternatives being worked on but right now there are thousands of PFAS, they are all slightly different – and to test the toxicity of each and every one is nearly impossible. She handed out two handouts for the Board to consider.

Rebekah Thomson, West Tisbury resident, mom of three children, said she wanted to piggyback on what Susan shared. She said that Emma gave a really good summary of recent findings about PFAS. There are other concerns with synthetic fields but she wanted to stay focused on PFAS. She said that this is not a fringe conspiracy theory, it was on the front page of the Boston Globe—an investigation around two companies that had not disclosed the use of PFAS. She said we are all very familiar with PFAS here on the island because of the airport contamination last year that is still being remediated, as these are forever chemicals and so hard to detect. In addition, they bio-accumulate and are extremely expensive to eliminate.

The Globe article centered around two private watchdog groups that did their own testing and found everything they sampled in the blades themselves tested positive for PFAS in addition to the backing, which also tested positive. She pointed out that there are only tests for 36 of those PFAS chemicals and there are over 4,000 chemicals.

She said that she attended a school committee meeting recently and she heard Chris Huntress (consultant, architect) speak about it in other terms. He made it seem like it was only in the backing and that if you just stick to certain brands that don't have the backing that somehow it would be okay and there wouldn't be PFAS. He talked about how Shaw is the company that he would recommend because they would never use PFAS and yet Shaw Sports Turf admitted in this Globe article that it is widely used and while they've tried to get PFAS out of some of their other products like indoor carpet flooring, they made no comment about the ability to remove it from outside turf. She said it was alarming to hear the school consultant advise the school committee and twist these findings in a way that was meant to put people at ease.

She said she hopes that the Planning Board will do a deep dive to understand that this is early, these are early findings made by the Boston Globe, but it was significant enough that they put it on the cover. She is certain there is more additional testing underway and it will be interesting to see what it reveals. She

said she certainly hopes that the school community and the OBPB would want to see what develops and proceed with a lot of caution knowing that it could affect our athletes in addition to leeching into water ways – the high school campus-- a lot of which is Zone II and could make residents and others vulnerable. She thanked the Planning Board for doing due diligence.

Chairperson Hopkins said that all of the written testimony the Board receives on this issue will be placed on the Planning Board's web page (<https://www.oakbluffsma.gov/412/High-School-Track-and-Field>). Oral testimony will be captured in the minutes which can also be found on the town's web site.

Suszan Bellincampi, director of Mass Audubon's Felix Neck Wildlife Sanctuary, submitted a letter to the OBPB during the week. She did not read into record but it is available on the web site.

Chairperson Hopkins asked if there were any comments in support of synthetic fields.

➤ *Comments in support of synthetic turf*

Matt D'Andrea, Martha's Vineyard Public Schools Superintendent, said they also learned, via the same Boston Globe article, that PFAS has been found in [blades of grass] and it has certainly concerned them as well. He said they have reached out to their field designer, Chris Huntress, who was also very concerned – and that Rebekah Thomson's characterization of [how he presented] was incorrect.

Huntress reached out to his recommended manufacturers and asked them about use of this substance [PFAS] and was informed they do not use it. Superintendent D'Andrea said that the school district is going to do their due diligence to make sure that these fields are safe. He said this is new information to them but they will do their homework. He said he would consult with the state and lean on the school's OPM (Joe Sullivan) and designer (Chris Huntress) to make sure this substance [PFAS] is not in the fields which are used at the high school.

He said they are not using crumb rubber – they are going to be using an organic infill – and said again that this is new information that will lead them to do more homework and make sure that what they are doing is in the best interests of the students. They have, through this whole process, emphasized how important it is that this field is a safe field. He has looked at multiple studies on synthetic fields and relied on those as they move forward and will continue to do so.

Chairperson Hopkins said they did receive a letter from Chris Huntress today at 2:26pm speaking to these issues. They will be in the record, however in it he stated that he would probably not have a proposal for the board for another two months and asked if we would table the conversation until then.

Chairperson Hopkins said we won't be able to table the conversation – the horse is out of the barn, so to speak. The public is giving us input and we will listen and consider it in public structure. He encouraged anyone who is in support of Superintendent D'Andrea's position to do the same and to submit in writing to the Planning Board so it's a balanced conversation that we're having. He said the board wants to hear from everyone, but we won't suspend the conversation for two months, we're in it and will keep going.

Superintendent D'Andrea said he would encourage the Planning Board to give Chris Huntress an opportunity to attend a future meeting to speak to these points and that while this is his livelihood, it's important to him that it be a safe material. Thinks it would be beneficial for board to hear from him.

Chairperson Hopkins said they would definitely make room in this forum for him to speak if that's what he would like to do. Superintendent D'Andrea clarified for the board that Joe Sullivan is the OPM, the owner's project manager. Joe works for the school to oversee the work that will be done on the fields and make sure it's done correctly, the right materials are used and done exactly the way it's planned. In turn, [the school] partners with their OPM to hire the designer or architect who is Chris Huntress.

Chairperson Hopkins said as a board they like to meet with the applicant and while the applicant can bring whatever representatives they want to bring to these sessions they encourage one designated person to represent the school committee or department and to [always] be at these meetings because they'd like to hear them say they concur with what is being represented.

Kris O'Brien, school committee representative for the town of Oak Bluffs, also a member of the High School and All-Island school committees, said they are looking for a designated representative to the OBPB and that person will be determined at next meeting, Monday Nov 4.

She also requested that in the future, because an application has not been formally made, all of the elements of the application/design have not been formally stated, so when crumb rubber is mentioned – or alluded to in this context, it inflames the public. She said that it is not part of this project and so any discussion of crumb rubber is irrelevant because this project does not contain it – it contains “frost fill.” She said that if board wants to know what that is, she has information she can share. Another thing the project does not contain is the backing. She said by referencing things that are not in this project, it angers the community. She is asking to please judge this project on the elements that it [actually] contains and that when comments are made that the Planning Board state so upfront.

Chairperson Hopkins agreed to do so and said that what he will continue to do is when the Planning Board gets letters of concern they will use that as instructive feedback and when there is a designated representative for the school committee or department, that we can share those concerns. He also reiterated that the Planning Board would be hiring professional oversight to help guide them as a board.

Kris said she is neither the OPM nor the designer, but there is another manufacturer of fibers called TenCate Grass that states, in a letter dated Oct. 16, that the fibers they produce do not contain PFOS, the type of PFAS reported in article. Chairperson Hopkins asked if that was testimony she could submit and she said yes. (Note – this letter was forwarded by Huntress and is on our web site).

He also further stated that one of the tools available to the Commission and to the town Planning Board is the ability to condition decisions so if there's a concern about a certain component and we condition it that is an absolute.

Chairperson Hopkins asked if there was any public input at a high level around the impact of grass, pro and con, or that anyone on the board would like to speak to. There was none.

Member Lambert asked the superintendent and Kris O'Brien why it is so important to put in artificial turf – what is the reasoning behind using artificial turf – Superintendent D'Andrea said the reason is that the fields can't tolerate the amount of usage and the time of year they are used, they get beat up, they get ripped up and muddy and if it's all grass this does not really allow the grass fields to rest and grow. If they have one artificial turf field to use when the weather is bad, this allows grass field to rest and grow. So if they have an artificial turf field, it will allow them to have better grass fields and both will be in better shape.

Emma Greenbeach asked if there was any plan to rehabilitate the other grass fields so that they may go on and be healthy. Chairperson Hopkins said he'd anticipate that when they receive a proposal, one of the things they'd ask for is the overall maintenance plan for the campus. But they have not submitted a plan yet so they won't ask them to cherry pick their proposal right now. When they're ready to present the whole plan, they'll present the whole plan.

Susan Desmarais submitted background of chemicals she discussed. She said it's really important that with the peer reviews that it be an independent person with no vested interest – for example, the person's livelihood should not come from selling turf. Chairperson Hopkins agreed.

Green Community Subcommittee Update

Member Cleary gave a brief update and outlined criteria for being a green community. There are five criterion the town needs to achieve to be designated a “Green Community”. What the designation does two things: 1) makes us a better community regarding energy usage and conservation practices as a town and 2) it opens up funding opportunities such as grants to fulfill future needs. Their next meeting is tomorrow.

Most criterion has started or been achieved. There are other towns who’ve already received the designation. Mostly the committee has questions and what direction they should go. Most recently they’ve received inventory of all town-owned properties and vehicles. This gives a baseline inventory of our energy use and how responsible we are with that usage. Chairperson Hopkins told those in attendance that most of our non-exempt fleet is entirely electric. There are exemptions for emergency vehicles, but the police will be electric as well. The designation will give guidance on environmental materials to be used in construction and in landscaping, as well as energy consumption. It will need to go to town meeting – and it’s a stretch code with a higher due diligence of building which will be a controversial piece – but we will have many public sessions before April on this topic.

Deadlines to adhere to for these subcommittees:

Expect to hold public hearings in February and March.

Comments from Andrea Rogers and Llewellyn Rogers re: bound issues

Andrea is chair of the ZBA and Lou is vice chair – Lou said there is section 3.4 – Accessory Guest apartments. They are still discussing in subcommittee. There needs to be some clarification on sizes – such as 500 sf for a garage (that’s all it says and we think it needs to clarify what they’re actually talking about – how they measured. Same issue in 4.2, 4.4.1 (which specifies a “shed” under 100 sf). We are trying to define what the square footage in the language of the bylaw. The way it’s written now is very vague.

They have spoken to assessors to understand how they measure. There are two bounds referenced on Dukes County Ave. that is never clear – there is a 100 foot bound and an 80 foot bound and it’s never clear because you have business and residential. There is ambiguity in the zoning map. Does the Planning Board have ability to change zoning lines or clarify the lines. Chairperson Hopkins said yes – the zoning maps are owned by this board and the language of this board and if they’re saying that they are having difficulty interpreting the language then that is definitely a conversation. We will include legal counsel in that discussion but it is definitely in our realm – the Planning Board has the purview but it would need to go to Town Meeting. He said subcommittee should spend more time on this.

Board Updates

Mark Crossland – A meeting is being sent up with RKG and others re the feasibility study. He also let board know that a letter had been sent to a property owner encroaching on three lots in Oak Bluffs (Affordable Housing Committee)

JoJo Lambert is working with Joe Forns on language around two of the bylaws (one is garage size and height of door, stairway) via the zoning reform subcommittee.

Chairperson Hopkins said he loves the work that’s happening. He said he wrote a letter to MassHousing stating formal opposition to the 40B application at 3 Uncas Ave.

Public Comment

Kris O'Brien thanked the board for all the work they do in their volunteer roles for the town. That sentiment was seconded by Richard O'Toole.

Adjourn

Member Albert made a motion to adjourn, Member Cleary seconded.

Meeting was adjourned at 6:19 p.m.

Minutes approved November 14, 2019

Documents on File

- Agenda
- Board packet
- Sign In Sheet